

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/762,134 | IVANS, NORMAN | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Dinh Q. Nguyen | 3752 | |

All Participants:

(1) Dinh Q. Nguyen.

(2) Steven Roeder.
Status of Application: amendment after non-final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 April 2006
Time: 5:45 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Claims discussed:

1, 12, 31, 36

Prior art documents discussed:


art of record

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

applicant agreed to add the language in the last paragraph of claim 1 to claims 12, 31, and 36 to clearly distinguish the invention.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)